





Blood 142 (2023) 6916-6918

The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY

705.CELLULAR IMMUNOTHERAPIES: LATE PHASE AND COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE THERAPIES

Permissive Cardiotoxicity As a Guiding Principle to CAR-T Therapy with Reduced Ejection Fraction Heart Failure Forat Lutfi, MD^{1,2}, Briha Ansari³, Afraah Hawa⁴, Al-Ola Abdallah, MD^{5,6}, Zubair Shah⁷, Andre Khazak⁸, Hussam Hawamdeh⁹, Marc S. Hoffmann, MD¹⁰, Muhammad Umair Mushtaq, MD^{11,5}, William Wesson, MPH¹², Aliya Rashid, DO,MPH¹³, Maggie Nelson, PharmD⁶, Leyla Shune ^{5,7}, Sunil Abhyankar, MD⁶, Joseph P McGuirk, DO⁶, Charles Porter 14, Nausheen Ahmed, MD 5,1

- ¹ Division of Hematologic Malignancies & Cellular Therapeutics, University of Kansas Medical Center, Westwood, KS
- ²US Myeloma Innovations Research Collaborative (USMIRC), Kansas City, MO
- ³ Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore
- ⁴The Barstow School, Kansas City, MO
- ⁵US Myeloma Innovations Research Collaborative (USMIRC), Kansas City, KS
- ⁶University of Kansas Medical Center, Westwood, KS
- ⁷University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS
- ⁸ Mount Sinai Beth Israel, New York, NY
- ⁹Baptist Health, Fort Smith, AR
- ¹⁰The University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS
- ¹¹Division of Hematologic Malignancies and Cellular Therapeutics, University of Kansas Medical Center, Westwood, KS
- ¹²University of Kansas School of Medicine, Kansas City, KS
- ¹³Division of Hematologic Malignancies & Cellular Therapeutics, University of Kansas Cancer Center, Kansas City, MO
- ¹⁴Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

Introduction: Pivotal CAR-T registrational trials for the six CAR-T therapies currently FDA approved have excluded those with heart failure (HF), defined either by low ejection fraction (EF) or clinical symptoms of HF (NYHA functional classification). This has created a real-world dilemma for clinicians desiring to treat this patient population. There is concern that those with HF may not tolerate the physiologic and hemodynamic stressors associated with cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and immune effector cell associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS) and thus have increased risk of severe morbidity or death. The emerging concept of permissive cardiotoxicity seeks to allow for an acceptable level of cardiac toxicity to allow for treatment with highly efficacious but potentially cardiac toxic treatments like CAR-T therapy. To that end, in this study, we provide our real-world, single-institution experience with CAR-T therapy in patients with medically optimized HF with reduced EF (HFrEF) prior to date of apheresis.

Methods: This was a retrospective review of 168 (100 B-cell lymphoma and 68 multiple myeloma) CAR-T therapy patients, with 20 (12%) identified with HFrEF of ≤50% prior to apheresis by two-dimensional transthoracic echocardiogram as part of the standard CAR-T screening process and without clinical symptoms of HF at time of apheresis. 19/20 patients received standard of care CAR-T products. This study was conducted following IRB approval. Statistical analysis was done using R version 4.2.3. Kaplan-Meier curves were used to calculate progression free survival(PFS) and overall survival(OS).

Results: Twenty patients with HFrEF prior to CAR-T infusion were identified with median EF of 44.1% (range 25-50%) (see Table 1, Baseline Characteristics). The median age was 63.5 (37-82) years-old, predominately male gender (80%), Caucasian (70%), with ECOG of 1 (95%), and 10 patients (50%) received axicabtagene ciloleucel (axi-cel). Most patients received CAR-T for Bcell lymphoma (80%). Cardiac history included 5 (25%) with previous CAD, 1 (5%) with previous PAD, and 4 (20%) with history of atrial fibrillation/flutter. Cardio-Oncology was consulted prior to CAR-T therapy in 10 (50%) and 12 (60%) were optimized on goal-directed therapy (GDT). CKD was pre-existing in 4 (20%) patients. Where measured, all patients had a pre-CAR-T troponin within normal institutional limits (<0.05ng/mL). NT-BNP at baseline was only measured in 6 (30%) patients with a median value of 110pg/mL (49-3200, upper limit of normal 125pg/mL). Longitudinal strain prior to CAR-T was measured in 5 (25%) patients with median value of -16% (-19 to -10%, <-17% normal).

There were no cases of grade \geq 3 CRS with 3 (15%) grade 1 and 12 (60%) grade 2 CRS (see Table 1, Safety Outcomes). There were 4 (20%) with grade 3 ICANS, although most patients 12 (60%) did not experience ICANS. Compared to ZUMA-1, the 10 **ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY** Session 705

patients receiving axi-cel did not have significantly higher rates of CRS or ICANS. Tocilizumab was administered in 15 (75%) of patients and steroids given in 10 (50%) of patients. Following CAR-T infusion, 11 (55%) of patients required ICU admission, no patients required vasopressors, 6 (30%) experienced an AKI, 2 (10%) had LFT abnormalities, 4 (20%) had an arrhythmia, and 5 (25%) had further decline in EF with recovery occurring in most cases where measured. Only 2 (10%) of patients had an HF exacerbation within 30 days of CAR-T infusion. PFS and OS were 11.3 and 26 months, respectively.

Conclusion: Given that all registrational CAR-T trials excluded HF, notable reservation exists among clinicians in the use of CAR-T therapy in patients with a history of HF and particularly HFrEF. In our institutional experience of 20 patients with good performance status and medically optimized on GDT, we demonstrate that CAR-T therapy can be given safely with rates of CRS and ICANS similar to that expected in the non-HF population. Additionally, while ICU utilization was high, the incidence of arrhythmias, renal or hepatic toxicity as indicators of end organ dysfunction, non-recovering EF decline, and heart failure exacerbation following CAR-T infusion were quite low. It is thus our practice to consider CAR-T therapy on a case by case basis in those with a history of HFrEF. The key to success being maximal cardiac optimization achieved through early engagement of our Cardio-Oncology colleagues based upon the concept of permissive cardiotoxicity.

Disclosures Hoffmann: BeiGene: Consultancy, Honoraria; AstraZeneca: Consultancy, Honoraria; Genentech: Consultancy, Honoraria, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Research Funding; TG Therapeutics: Consultancy, Honoraria; Pharmacyclics: Consultancy, Honoraria; Janssen: Consultancy, Honoraria; AbbVie: Consultancy, Honoraria; ADC Therapeutics: Consultancy, Honoraria; Novartis: Consultancy, Honoraria, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Kite: Consultancy, Honoraria. McGuirk: Astellas Pharma: Research Funding; Fresenius Biotech: Research Funding; Novartis: Research Funding; EcoR1 Capital: Consultancy; Magenta Therapeutics: Consultancy; Allovir: Consultancy, Research Funding; Juno Therapeutics: Consultancy; Kite: Consultancy, Research Funding; Bellicum Pharmaceuticals: Research Funding; Gamida Cell: Research Funding; Pluristem Therapeutics: Research Funding.

ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY Session 705

Age, in years	Table 1, Baseline Ch	Entire Cohort (n=20)	Axi-cel (n=10)
Mean (SD)		62.1 (10.8)	62.0 (9.80)
Median [Min, Max]		63.5 [37.0, 82.0]	61.5 [45.0, 82.0]
Gender			
Female Male		4 (20.0%) 16 (80.0%)	2 (20.0%) 8 (80.0%)
Race/Ethnicity		20 (00:070)	0 (00:070)
African American		4 (20.0%)	1 (10.0%)
Asian American Caucasian		2 (10.0%) 14 (70.0%)	0 (0%) 9 (90.0%)
ECOG		24 (70.070)	3 (30.0%)
1		19 (95%)	10 (100%)
2 CAR-T Product		1 (5%)	0
Axicabtagene ciloleucel		10 (50.0%)	10 (100%)
Idecabtagene vicleucel		4 (20.0%)	0 (0%)
Tisagenlecleucel TYB323		5 (25.0%) 1 (5.0%)	0 (0%)
Costimulatory receptor		1 (3.0%)	0 (0%)
4-188		10 (50.0%)	0 (0%)
CD28 History of Coronary Artery Disease		10 (50.0%)	10 (100%)
Yes		5 (25.0%)	2 (20.0%)
Followed by Cardio-Oncology			
Yes	I-II	10 (50%)	3 (30%)
Goal directed therapy (GDT) for heart f Yes	allure	12 (60%)	5 (50%)
History of Peripheral Arterial Disease			
Yes		1 (5.0%)	0 (0%)
Troponin prior to CAR-T Mean (SD)		0.0233 (0.0158)	0.0300 (0.0283)
Median [Min, Max]		0.0200 [0.0100, 0.0500]	0.0300 [0.0100, 0.0500
Not measured		11 (55.0%)	8 (80.0%)
nt BNP prior to CAR-T Mean (SD)		612 (1270)	NA (NA)
Median [Min, Max]		110 [49.0, 3200]	NA [NA,NA]
Not measured		14 (70.0%)	10 (100%)
LDH Prior to Lymphodepletion Mean (SD)		277 (131)	282 (167)
Median [Min, Max]		228 [158, 694]	194 [158, 694]
Ferritin prior to lymphodepletion			
Mean (SD) Median [Min, Max]		488 (450) 320 (20.0, 1460)	390 (310) 314 (20.0, 1060)
Ferritin max to Day +30		320 (20.0, 1400)	314 [20.0, 1000]
Mean (SD)		1570 (1910)	1460 (1670)
Median [Min, Max]		812 [138, 7500]	741 [413, 5630]
CRP prior to lymphodepletion Mean (SD)		1.28 (1.89)	0.829 (1.06)
Median [Min, Max]		0.770 [0.0200, 8.16]	0.275 [0.0300, 3.16]
Missing		1 (5.0%)	0 (0%)
CRP max to Day +30 Mean (SD)		15.3 (8.75)	16.1 (7.47)
Median [Min, Max]		13.8 [4.21, 34.0]	14.5 [6.62, 26.3]
History of Chronic Kidney Disease		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Yes EF prior to CAR-T		4 (20.0%)	2 (20.0%)
Mean (SD)		44.1 (6.26)	45.6 (4.97)
Median [Min, Max]		45.0 [25.0, 50.0]	45.5 [35.0, 50.0]
Longitudinal Strain prior to CAR-T Mean (SD)		-14.4 (3.83)	-16.0 (0)
Median [Min, Max]		-16.0 [-19.0,-10.0]	-16.0 [-16.0, -16.0]
Not measured		15 (75.0%)	8 (80.0%)
History of atrial fibrillation/flutter Yes		4 (20.0%)	0 (0%)
Disease indication		- (EU.U/II)	0 (076)
		4 (20.0%)	0 (0%)
Myeloma			
Myeloma B-cell lymphoma		16 (80.0%)	10 (100%)
B-cell lymphoma	Table 1, Safety O	utcomes	AND THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS.
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome	Table 1, Safety O		10 (100%) Ax-cel (n=10)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS	Table 1, Safety O	utcomes	AND THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS.
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 0	Table 1, Safety O	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2	Table 1, Safety O	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2	Table 1, Safety O	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 2 2 Max ICANS 0 1	Table 1, Safety O	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 Max ICANS 0 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Table 1, Safety O	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 2 (20.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 Max ICANS 0 1 1 2 3	Table 1, Safety O	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 Max ICANS 0 1 2 ILL ILL ILL ILL ILL ILL IL		utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 2 (20.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 2 Max ICANS 0 1 2 3 ICU Admission Yes AKI to Day +15 (defined as Cr increase		utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 11 (55.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 Max iCANS 0 1 2 3 ICUI Admission Yes AKI to Day +15 (defined as Cr increase Yes	by 0.3 or > 1.5 times baseline)	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 Max ICANS 0 1 2 3 ULU Admission Yes Add to Day +15 (defined as Cr increase Yes Yes	by 0.3 or > 1.5 times baseline) fbili>1.5 to Day +30	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 11 (55.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 2 1 2 IMAR ICANS 0 1 2 3 ICU Admission Yes AKI to Day +15 (defined as Cr increase Yes T'r ahonomality >1.5 ULN AST/ALT or 1 Yes Armythmia occuring from infusion to Da	by 0.3 or > 1.5 times baseline) fbili>1.5 to Day +30	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 11 (55.0%) 6 (30.0%) 2 (10.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 Max ICANS 0 1 2 3 ICU Admission Yes ARIQ to Day +15 (defined as Cr increase Yes Try them occurring from infusion to Da Yes Arrythmia occurring from infusion to Da Yes	by 0.3 or > 1.5 times baseline) fbili>1.5 to Day +30	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 11 (55.0%) 6 (30.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 Max ICANS 0 1 2 3 ICU Admission Yes ARIQ to Day +15 (defined as Cr increase Yes Try them occurring from infusion to Da Yes Arrythmia occurring from infusion to Da Yes	by 0.3 or > 1.5 times baseline) fbili>1.5 to Day +30	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 11 (55.0%) 6 (30.0%) 2 (10.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 2 Max ICANS 0 1 1 2 2 3 MCU Admission Yes AKI to Day +15 (defined as Cr increase Yes LET abnormality >1.5 ULN AST/ALT or 1 Yes Arrythmia occuring from infusion to Da Yes Arrythmia occuring from infusion to Da Yes Let a Some Arrythmia occuring from infusion to Da Yes Let of Steroids	by 0.3 or > 1.5 times baseline) fbili>1.5 to Day +30	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 12 (60.0%) 12 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 11 (55.0%) 6 (30.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 15 (75.0%)	Ax-cet (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 4 (40.0%) 8 (80.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 2 Max ICANS 0 1 2 3 ICU Admission Yes ARI to Day +15 (defined as Cr increase Yes Yes Use of Tocilizumab Yes Use of Tocilizumab Yes Use of Steroids Yes Use of Steroids	by 0.3 or > 1.5 times baseline) fbili>1.5 to Day +30	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 11 (55.0%) 6 (30.0%) 2 (10.0%)	Ax-cet (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 2 (20.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 4 (40.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 2 Max ICANS 0 1 2 3 ICU Admission Yes ARI to Day +15 (defined as Cr increase Yes Yes Use of Tocilizumab Yes Use of Tocilizumab Yes Use of Steroids Yes Use of Steroids	by 0.3 or > 1.5 times baseline) fbili>1.5 to Day +30	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 11 (55.0%) 6 (30.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 15 (75.0%)	Ax-cet (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 4 (40.0%) 8 (80.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRIS 0 1 2 Max KANS 0 1 2 Safety Outcome Max CRIS 0 1 2 Max KANS 0 1 2 Safety Outcome CU Admission Yes CU Admission Yes CU Admission Yes First June Astr/ALT or 1 Yes Arrythmia occuring from infusion to Da Yes Use of Tocilizumab Yes Use of Steroids Yes Outcome In FF (Y/N) Yes Vecocine in EF (Y/N) Yes Not measured	by 0.3 or > 1.5 times baseline) (Fbli>1.5 to Day +30 vy +30	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 12 (60.0%) 12 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 11 (55.0%) 6 (30.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 15 (75.0%)	Ax-cet (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 4 (40.0%) 8 (80.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 2 3 CUI Admission Ves ANI to Day +15 (defined as Cr increase Yes Ter ahonemality >1.5 ULN AST/ALT or 1 Yes Sussed Toolizumab Yes Use of Toolizumab Yes Ves Use of Toolizumab Yes Nes Ves Nes Toolizumab Yes Nes Toolizumab Yes Tooliz	by 0.3 or > 1.5 times baseline) (Fbli>1.5 to Day +30 vy +30	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 11 (55.0%) 6 (30.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 15 (75.0%) 10 (50.0%) 5 (25.0%) 7 (35.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%) 4 (40.0%) 8 (80.0%) 8 (80.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 2 Max KANS 0 1 2 3 3 CU Admission Yes AXI to Day +15 (defined as Cr increase Yes LIFE abnormality >1.5 ULN AST/ALT or 1 Yes Arrythmia occuring from infusion to Da Yes Use of Steroids Yes Use of Steroids Yes Use of Steroids Yes Outcline in EF (Y/N) Yes Not measured Not measured No	by 0.3 or > 1.5 times baseline) (Fbli>1.5 to Day +30 vy +30	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 11 (55.0%) 6 (30.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 15 (75.0%) 10 (50.0%) 7 (35.0%) 1 (5.0%)	Ax-cet (n=10) 1 (100 %) 5 (50.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 4 (40.0%) 8 (80.0%) 8 (80.0%) 8 (80.0%) 0 (0%)
B-cell lymphoma Safety Outcome Max CRS 0 1 2 2 Max ICANS 0 1 2 3 ICU Admission Ves AKI to Day +15 (defined as Cr increase Ves UTF abnormality >1.5 ULN AST/ALT or 1 Yes AKI to Day the Continuation of the C	by 0.3 or > 1.5 times baseline) (Fbli>1.5 to Day +30 vy +30	utcomes Entire Cohort (n=20) 3 (15.0%) 12 (60.0%) 5 (25.0%) 12 (60.0%) 2 (10.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 11 (55.0%) 6 (30.0%) 2 (10.0%) 4 (20.0%) 15 (75.0%) 10 (50.0%) 5 (25.0%) 7 (35.0%)	Ax-cel (n=10) 1 (10.0%) 5 (50.0%) 4 (40.0%) 3 (30.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%) 4 (40.0%) 8 (80.0%) 8 (80.0%) 2 (20.0%) 3 (30.0%)

Figure 1

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-188031